

Review paper

Use of corticosteroids in neuro-oncology

P J Koehler

Department of Neurology, de Wever & Gregorius Hospital, PO Box 4446, 6401 CX Heerlen, The Netherlands.
Tel: (+31) 45 766701; Fax: (+31) 45 767416.

Glucocorticosteroids (GC) play an important role in the treatment of neuro-oncologic patients. GC are used for the management of malignant brain tumors, either primary or secondary, neoplastic epidural spinal cord compression (NESC), as adjuvant chemotherapy of some central nervous system tumors and perioperatively in brain surgery. GC are believed to exert their influence on brain tumors mainly by reducing the tumor-associated vasogenic edema, probably by decreasing the increased capillary permeability of the blood–brain barrier (BBB). Experimental as well as clinical studies applying computed tomography, magnetic resonance and PET have supported these theories. However, other mechanisms have been proposed and investigated, such as a reduction of cerebral blood flow and oncolytic effects, the latter being controversial. The effect of GC is best observed in patients with cerebral metastases and gliomas. Studies on the effect of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) gave conflicting results. Although some prefer methylprednisolone, dexamethasone is the GC given in the majority of neuro-oncologic patients, at an empirically chosen dosage of 4 mg qid. Dose–effect studies in patients with cerebral metastases as well as in patients suffering from NESC have been performed and lower doses in a twice daily regime may be sufficient. Side-effects may be divided in three groups: those originating from the mineralocorticoid activity, the withdrawal of the drug and the chronic excess GC administration. Steroid myopathy is the most frequent occurring serious side-effect in neuro-oncologic patients. Others include gastrointestinal perforation and hemorrhage, opportunistic infections, steroid diabetes, and skin and facial changes. The most important interaction is that with phenytoin. The influence of dexamethasone on the effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy is also discussed. New developments in GC treatment include the local administration of dexamethasone.

Key words: Adrenal cortex hormones, brain edema, brain neoplasm, dexamethasone, spinal cord compression.

Introduction

Glucocorticosteroids (GC) are often used in oncological patients in general. They are of importance in the treatment of hematological malignancies, as well as in combined treatment regimes for solid

tumors. GC also play a substantial part in the treatment of neuro-oncologic patients. They are used for the management of malignant brain tumors, either primary or secondary, neoplastic epidural spinal cord compression (NESC), as adjuvant in chemotherapy of some central nervous system (CNS) tumors, and perioperatively in brain surgery. The main purpose of treatment with GC is the improvement of symptoms and signs, awaiting further treatment with radiotherapy (RT), surgery or chemotherapy.

Although Addison conducted his clinical studies on the effect of adrenal disease in 1855, and Brown-Séquard found, in 1856, that mammals die within 12 h after the removal of the adrenals, the preparation of active adrenal cortex extracts had to wait until 1930.^{1,2} Within the subsequent 12 years, the structures of 28 steroids from the adrenal cortex, found to be more important than the adrenal medulla, were elucidated.³ In 1949 the dramatic effects of cortisone and adrenocorticotrophic hormones were demonstrated in rheumatoid patients by Hench and his colleagues.⁴

At the beginning of the 20th century, the treatment of brain edema in neurosurgical patients, and of patients with elevated intracranial pressure, was commenced with mannitol.⁵ Although most showed improvement, metabolic and other problems led to the search for other agents. Ingraham found a remarkable effect of corticosteroid replacement therapy on postoperative edema in patients being operated on for craniopharyngioma in 1952.⁶ The beneficial effect of prednisolone in patients with cerebral metastases was demonstrated 5 years later.⁷ The reason for applying this drug was the observation of adrenalectomy being effective in disseminated breast carcinoma.⁸

Whereas disappointing results were obtained with relatively low dosages of cortisone, high doses of prednisolone, sufficient to cause adrenal suppression, appeared to be effective. One of the first

patients with brain metastases thus treated showed dramatic improvement of neurological symptoms. In 1961 it was shown that dexamethasone had a favorable effect on cerebral edema resulting from brain tumors and brain surgery.⁹ The beneficial effect of corticosteroids in spinal metastasis was reported 7 years later.¹⁰ Since the late 1950s a large amount of research has been performed and many articles on the effect of GC published, but many questions remained unsolved or were only partially answered, such as: how does it work, what is the optimal dosage and treatment scheme, and what factors play a role in toxicity. Research has particularly concentrated on the pathophysiology of peritumoral brain edema, the effect of GC and tumoral steroid receptors.

In this review article, several aspects of GC treatment in neuro-oncologic patients are discussed. Brain tumors, NESC, other indications of GC, side-effects and interactions are dealt with successively.

Brain tumors

Cerebral edema

Whereas many authors use the term peritumoral edema, arguments have been raised to apply the term tumor-associated edema: the former would deny the fact that the edema may be present at considerable distance from the tumor, even across the corpus callosum in the opposite cerebral hemisphere.¹¹ Furthermore, the so-called peritumoral edema may contain tumor cells, particularly in the case of primary brain tumors.¹²

Many symptoms and signs from intracranial tumors result from tumor-associated edema, the reason why research has focused on the elucidation of the pathogenesis and treatment of this problem.

The beneficial effect of GC in patients with brain tumors has been attributed to the reduction of cerebral edema, although other mechanisms, such as oncolytic effects and an early reduction of cerebral blood volume, may also play a part.¹³

A large amount of research has been performed to analyze the pathophysiology of brain edema. Several types of brain edema have been discerned as based on the pathogenesis: cytotoxic and ischemic edema are observed in patients with cerebral infarcts, hemorrhage and trauma, and GC are much less effective in those types of edema.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In brain tumor patients, the edema is vasogenic: it is loca-

lized in the extracellular space of mainly the white matter and it is caused by changes in the blood-brain barrier (BBB). Plasma proteins, other large molecules and water-soluble polar compounds may move into the extracellular spaces of the brain parenchyma in this situation.

Four inter-related processes have been conceptualized for the origin of tumor-associated edema:¹¹

- (1) Increased microvascular permeability due to factors produced by the tumor.
- (2) The permeability of new microvessels associated with tumor angiogenesis.
- (3) Immunological factors.
- (4) Increased microvascular permeability associated with the inflammatory process involved.

Human biopsy material from glioblastomas, gliomas, meningiomas and metastases has been investigated to establish the components of vasogenic edema.¹⁷ Positive correlations were found between water content, on the one hand, and serum protein levels and sodium content in tumors and tumor-associated edema, on the other, except in metastases. Probably protein and sodium both play a role in edema formation.

Capillary permeability in tumor-associated brain edema has been investigated extensively. Increased capillary permeability of the tumor appeared to be a major source of edema.¹⁸ Experimental brain tumors, as well as human malignant gliomas have been shown to secrete diffusible factors that are responsible for capillary endothelial leakage.¹⁹⁻²⁴ Macrophages and leukotrienes also play an important role in the formation of peritumoral edema,²⁵ although some have denied the action of leukotrienes with this respect.²⁶ Prostaglandins, arachidonic acid in particular, have been examined and were found to mediate the alteration of the BBB permeability.²⁷

Tumor-associated edema, its production and propagation, and the effect of steroid therapy can be examined by regional imaging techniques.²⁸ Magnetic resonance (MR) longitudinal relaxation time (T1) was found to be highly correlated with water content of the cerebral cortex and white matter in man.^{29,30} The time-course of propagation of extravasated contrast medium from small brain metastases into the peritumoral edematous white matter was studied by computed tomography (CT). The formation rate of edema fluid was 0.5-32 ml/h, whereas the speed of edema spreading measured 1.9 mm/h.^{31,32}

Experimental studies with GC: glioma and metastases

GC may exert their effects on cerebral edema in several ways: dexamethasone decreases the normal permeability for large molecules in mice, which suggests that it influences cerebral edema in some way.³³

It was found that GC may decrease the capillary permeability of tumors.³⁴ In rat glioma dexamethasone resulted in an 83% reduction of vascular permeability to intravenous Evans blue, an increased percentage of vessels expressing GLUT1 (a marker of barrier endothelium, linked to permeability restrictions), lower vascular density and smaller tumor size.³⁵

Water and serum protein content in edematous tissue of glioblastomas was found to be decreased with increasing dexamethasone concentration in biopsy material.¹⁷ This could not be demonstrated in gliomas and meningiomas. The increased permeability can be reduced by GC within 1 h.³⁶

In another study it was found that the clinical improvement with dexamethasone was not likely to be due to a non-specific reduction in tumor vessel permeability to polar substances, as demonstrated with mannitol.³⁷ Recently the decreasing effect of dexamethasone on capillary permeability in brain tumors has been argued, whereas the reduction of tumor extracellular space was confirmed. The authors stated that decreased tumor edema and size after dexamethasone treatment would not depend on changes in capillary permeability.³⁸ Thus, controversies on this subject remain to be solved.

Imaging studies of the effect of GC in cerebral edema

CT scan. Steroid treatment has been shown to improve midline shift, ventricular compression, edema, enhancement intensity and the size of the enhancing mass in 11 patients with recurrent malignant glioma.³⁹ The effect of GC on vasogenic edema in several tumors was investigated with CT. In five cases with meningiomas, reduction of edema could hardly be detected; in four cases with glioma, reduction was considerable; whereas in three cases with metastases it was most remarkable: a reduction to approximately 25% of the original edema volume within 2 weeks. Some decrease was also noted in one patient with acoustic neuroma.⁴⁰

The formation and propagation of cerebral edema surrounding metastases has been studied by CT.

Following treatment with dexamethasone the formation rate of edema fluid appeared to be reduced by 30–50%.³¹

MR scan. GC treatment leads to a reduction of the visualized tumor on MR scan, whereas the solid core, represented on the T1 weighted images, is hardly influenced. GC may mask the (lack of) effectiveness of any simultaneous therapy.⁴¹

It is remarkable that the water content of peritumoral white matter, as measured by T1 relaxation time, did not change during the first 6 days after the administration of dexamethasone. Mannitol did reduce T1, and consequently peritumoral white matter edema, within 15 min.²⁹ Therefore, the clinical improvement observed shortly after the administration of GC cannot be attributed to the decrease of tumor-associated edema.

PET scan. Blood-to-tumor transport of ⁸²Rb has been measured by PET in patients with primary or metastatic cerebral tumors, before, at 6 h and at 24 h after a 100 mg intravenous bolus injection of dexamethasone. A 29% decrease in blood-to-tumor transport rate constant could be established at 24 h, as well as at 5–6 h, supporting the hypothesis of a positive effect of dexamethasone on tumor capillary permeability.^{42,43}

Changes after dexamethasone treatment, as observed with PET scans of 10 brain tumor patients, were consistent with a direct vasoconstriction of cerebral blood vessels.⁴⁴ This may be due to the inhibitory effect of GC on endothelium prostacycline production, resulting in vasoconstriction.^{45,46} Vascular volume in tumor tissue of experimental RG-2 gliomas was reduced after dexamethasone therapy, in contradistinction to tumor-free brain regions.⁴⁷

Effects in tumors, other than gliomas and metastases

GC have been demonstrated to exert much less influence on cerebral edema surrounding other tumors than gliomas or metastases: the edema in acoustic neuromas decreases in the order of 10–20%, whereas the effect on meningiomas is also small.^{40,48} Tumor-associated edema is observed in different tumors and is possibly not related to one single mechanism, as discussed above. Meningiomas have an unpredictable degree of edema.⁴⁹ In supratentorial meningiomas, the amount of edema was found to be correlated with the prostaglandin

level. There was no detectable effect of dexamethasone on tumor prostaglandin levels nor on water concentration at surgery. Steroid treatment did not correlate with prostaglandin levels, which might explain the inconsistent effects of GC on the edema surrounding meningiomas. It was hypothesized that the balance among several prostanoids, with opposing effects on vaso-activity, determines the net vascular homeostasis.⁵⁰

Effects of other anti-inflammatory drugs

As GC have considerable adverse effects, the effect on tumor-associated edema of other drugs have been investigated. Reichmann demonstrated in the rat C6 glioma sphenoid implantation model that tumor-induced protein extravasation was diminished by steroids as well as by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).⁵¹

In a C6 spheroid tumor implantation model, long-term high dose ibuprofen resulted in decreased tumor growth.⁵² The same drug was able to improve the Karnofsky scale of approximately 40% of patients with brain tumors and tumor-associated edema.⁵³

This anti-edema effect of NSAIDs has not been confirmed by others.⁵⁴ The effects in experimental neoplastic epidural spinal cord compression will be discussed below.

Other possible mechanisms of action of GC

An inhibitory effect of GC on cultured human astrocytoma cells has been established.^{55,56} More recent studies in experimental brain tumors, using local delivery techniques with GC, have also demonstrated an effect on tumor volumes.^{57,58}

Dexamethasone at high concentrations shows growth inhibition in tissue cultures from neuroepithelial tumors and meningiomas.⁵⁹ Dexamethasone and methylprednisolone have been found to promote cellular differentiation, supporting a cytostatic role for GC in the treatment of brain tumors.⁶⁰ GC receptors have been determined in normal brain and several tumors.⁶¹⁻⁶³ These receptors were found to modulate the growth of cultured neuroepithelial tumors. In some *in vitro* studies, glioma cell cultures with GC receptors were found to be stimulated in growth.^{62,64} Thus, the cytotoxic effect of GC remains a controversial subject and seems to

be clinically relevant only in lymphoproliferative disease and some types of breast carcinoma.

The lethal dose of dexamethasone in human glioblastoma cell cultures has been determined and it was found that this is probably higher than can be tolerated by systemic delivery. Interstitial administration, however, might be considered to overcome this problem.⁶⁵

Treatment

Clinical studies with GC. If left untreated, the median survival of patients with cerebral metastases is approximately 1 month.^{66,67} By using GC, the median survival increases to approximately 2 months.⁶⁸ However, as these data emanated from older series, and from a period when tumors were detected later in the course of the disease, and often treated less effectively, these results may be to pessimistic for the present situation.⁶⁹ Additional whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) increases the median survival to 3–6 months.^{66,70}

In patients receiving RT combined with GC, symptoms were demonstrated to decrease earlier than in those treated with RT only.⁷¹ However, overall neurologic outcome did not differ between the two treatment groups. Improvement in patients with cerebral metastases may be observed within a few hours after administration of GC and approximately 70–80% will show significant clinical improvement.^{67,72} Improvement following the institution of dexamethasone therapy is more dramatic in patients with symptoms of generalized cerebral disease and signs of herniation than in those with focal symptomatology. Probably this is due to the fact that steroids exert their major effect on brain edema, which is supposed to be more present in the first group.⁷³

The dosage of dexamethasone usually administered has been chosen empirically at 4 mg qid,⁷⁴ but patients that are not responsive to this dosage may benefit from doses up to 100 mg/day,⁷⁵ although this may lead to more side-effects, as will be shown further on.

Although many patients with cerebral metastases die within 6 months, mostly resulting from systemic progression of the tumor, some patients may benefit from intensive therapy. This includes surgery (particularly in the case of single metastases)^{76,77}, radiotherapy, in some cases brachytherapy⁷⁸ or radiosurgery,⁷⁹ and chemotherapy, the latter in particular for breast cancer.⁸⁰ Lifetime may be prolonged with improved quality in some cases.⁸¹

Which steroid and what dosage? The adrenal cortex produces corticosteroids and androgens. The first group can be divided in glucocorticoids (e.g. cortisol) and mineralocorticoids (e.g. aldosterone). This division is based on the potencies of sodium retention and hepatic glycogen deposition. The synthetic prednisone has some sodium retaining properties, and as dexamethasone has lower mineralocorticoid activity than other GC, it is preferred. The dosage of dexamethasone was empirically established at 16 mg/day in the 1960s.⁷⁴ It may be administered orally, intramuscularly or intravenously. If no response to lower doses is observed, doses up to 100 mg of dexamethasone or 2000 mg of methylprednisolone may be given in some instances.^{75,82} The dosage of 16 mg is usually given in four doses, but considering its prolonged plasma half-life, it may be given twice daily.⁷³ This regime might also prevent prolonged hypothalamic-pituitary axis (HPA) suppression.⁸³ For the same reason others preferred methylprednisolone in an alternate-day regime. Dexamethasone was supposed to suppress the HPA axis too long, because of its longer half-time.⁸⁴ Ehrenkranz and Posner, however, did not find anti-edema effects with an alternate-day regime.⁸⁵ One way to find out the best drug would be to conduct a randomized controlled trial with both drugs.

Dose-effect studies have hardly been performed until recently. Experimental studies addressing this issue have been performed, but these concerned neoplastic epidural spinal cord compression.^{86,87} In two randomized studies in patients with metastatic brain tumor, it was demonstrated that a daily dose of 8 mg was as effective as 16 mg after 1 week of treatment, and that 4 mg/day resulted in the same degree of improvement as 16 mg/day after 1 week of treatment. However, the 4 mg dosage had to be tapered more slowly than the other two dose regimes (8 and 16 mg), as an increase of neurologic signs during tapering was more frequent in this group, than in the other two, and the 4 mg dosage had to be reinstituted. The latter dosage of 4 mg/day was recommended, if no signs of impending herniation were present.⁸⁸

Duration of treatment and tapering. Considering the side-effects, that will be discussed below, the treatment should last as short as possible. In most instances it has been common practice to continue GC treatment until the end of radiation therapy. A regime of twice daily administration of dexamethasone (8 mg bid), tapering the dosage every 4 days with 50% and continuing with 2 mg bid until the last

day of RT, provided good clinical results with minimal morbidity.⁸⁹ The incidence of side-effects from RT with the fraction dose regimes in use at present is low if GC are used.⁹⁰ However, if patients receive RT without GC, toxicity is not more common. In one study 30% of patients did not receive GC during RT for brain metastasis. No toxicity was reported from this group.⁷¹ The continuation of GC until the end of RT may be particularly valuable in patients with elevated intracranial pressure or in those with cerebellar metastases.

Long-term steroid dependency after the completion of RT occurred in 20% of 183 patients from a retrospective study.⁹⁰ In patients with cerebral glioma, GC dependency appeared to be a reliable prognostic indicator in terms of survival.⁹¹

NESC

The beneficial effect of GC in patients with NESC was first demonstrated by Cantu in 1968. He observed striking neurologic improvement within 24 h after the administration of methylprednisolone in two patients, one with metastasis from an endometrial carcinoma and the other from an ovarian tumor.¹⁰ GC and radiotherapy have become the mainstay in the treatment of NESC.⁹²

Pathophysiology

The pathophysiology of NESC is different from that in brain tumors, not in the least because there is no actual contact between the epidural tumor and the spinal cord, which is prevented by the dura.⁹³ Besides the well-known vasogenic cord edema (caused by compression of the venous plexus and the mechanical cord compression leading to blood-cord barrier disruption),^{94,95} venous hemorrhage, loss of myelin, and ischemia seem to play an important role, as has been demonstrated in animal models.^{86,92,95,96} The amount of edema has been correlated to the neurological deficit.^{86,94}

As pencil-shaped softenings have been found at autopsy, a combination of neural compression and circulatory disturbance may be responsible.⁹⁷ Prostanoids play a role in the processes involved with NESC. Increased production of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) was demonstrated in experimental NESC. PGE₂ synthesis, vascular permeability and spinal cord edema could be reduced by the administration of the serotonin antagonist cyproheptadine.⁹⁸ PGE₂ synthesis can also be inhibited by GC and NSAIDs.

Siegal demonstrated a positive effect of the treatment with indomethacin and dexamethasone in experimental NESC, but it was not clear whether this was due to edema reduction. Specific gravity (a characteristic of the tissue that is not only influenced by the water content, but probably also by tissue blood volume and dry matter composition, reflecting complex pathophysiological processes) changes were corrected by dexamethasone treatment, whereas water content did not change. Treatment with indomethacin reduced both elevated water content and specific gravity values back to normal levels.⁹⁹ Indomethacin compared favorably with dexamethasone in delaying the onset of paraplegia in experimental NESC.¹⁰⁰ Thus, the mechanism of action by GC in NESC needs further elucidation. Posner suggested oncolytic effects to be partly responsible for the improvement of four patients with NESC. However, two had a lymphocytic component in the tumor.¹⁰¹

Treatment

In patients with NESC, GC may result in improvement of neurological symptoms and alleviate pain. Treatment should be started as soon as the diagnosis has been confirmed by myelography, CT or MR. In some instances, however, it will be sensible to start it at the suspicion of the diagnosis, before the diagnostic imaging is performed, in particular if serious cord compression is suspected. In any case it should be instituted before the start of radiotherapy, as symptoms and signs may worsen by radiation.

A standard dose of dexamethasone 4 mg qid has usually been given, comparable to the situation in brain tumors.^{93,102,130} However, as laboratory studies demonstrated a dose-effect relationship with dexamethasone usage,^{86,87} a loading dose of 100 mg, followed by 24 mg qid, has become current in many centers.^{104,105} Clinical research has been undertaken to elucidate this issue. In a randomized controlled trial with patients suffering from NESC, an initial bolus of 10 mg was compared with 100 mg, in both regimes followed by 16 mg daily. No differences could be established between the two groups as for the effect on pain-relief, ambulation and bladder function.¹⁰³

Despite these results, some prefer an initial bolus of between 10 and 100 mg, depending on the severity of neurological signs and symptoms. The higher doses are administered to patients with profound and rapidly progressive neurological signs.⁹² The relief of pain, in particular, may occur within a

few hours of the administration of GC and the first radiotherapy session. It is not known if the use of GC contributes to overall neurological outcome,⁹³ although in a recent study, comparing high-dose dexamethasone with placebo, in patients with NESC treated by radiotherapy, ambulation after treatment and after 6 months was better in the dexamethasone group. Median survival was identical in both treatment groups.¹⁰⁶ The most important prognostic factor is the neurologic function at the time of diagnosis.^{107,108} Non-ambulant patients will rarely become ambulant after treatment.¹⁰⁷

Duration of treatment and tapering

GC therapy is mostly continued until the end of radiotherapy to prevent radiation-induced spinal cord edema.^{92,93} In an experimental study, however, radiation induced edema did not seem to play an important role.¹⁰⁹ Sometimes tapering is started before or during RT. The duration of treatment should be chosen on an individual basis. In patients with minor symptoms and signs, combined with minor NESC on imaging studies, GC may be tapered earlier than in severe compression with paraparesis. An example of a tapering schedule may be: 16 mg, reducing by 4 mg every 3 or 4 days.⁹²

Some patients have been treated by dexamethasone in combination with chemotherapy. NESC in patients with breast carcinoma, in particular, may respond to this treatment.^{101,110,111}

Other Indications for GC

Primary CNS non-Hodgkin lymphoma (pCNS-NHL)

pCNS-NHL represents less than 1% of all primary brain tumors.¹¹² Despite the low incidence, the issue is discussed here because of the important implications of the use of GC in these patients and because the occurrence of pCNS-NHL has gradually increased during the past decades. This cannot only be attributed to improved detection methods, AIDS or other forms of immunosuppression. The prognosis is poor.^{112,113}

Striking results have been obtained with GC in these patients. The tumor may even disappear temporarily.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶ In some cases this remission lasted for a considerable period.^{117,118} The rapid action of GC has often posed problems at surgery, particularly in the case of stereotactic biopsy, when tumors seemed to have disappeared on the planning CT

scans. Therefore, if a pCNS-NHL is suspected on CT or MR (homogenous contrast enhanced tumors in the subcortical white matter, often close to the ventricles), rapid diagnosis should be obtained, prior to the administration of GC.^{119,120} In modern chemotherapy regimes for pCNS-NHL, dexamethasone is often used.¹²¹⁻¹²³

GC are sometimes used for the palliative treatment of radionecrosis, which may occur after brachytherapy or, less frequently, following stereotactic radiosurgery of brain metastases. Additional surgery may be necessary.⁸¹ Radionecrosis may be difficult to discern from tumor growth, although PET with fluorodeoxyglucose may be helpful in this respect. Sometimes stereotactic biopsy may be required, as PET is rarely available. Symptoms related to swelling from inflammation in radiation myelopathy, a rare condition, may also respond to GC.¹²⁴

Addendum

During the processing of this article an important study was published reporting the possibly favourable action of heparin and warfarin for the treatment of CNS radiation injury.

Glantz MJ, Burger PC, Friedman AH, Radtke RA, Massey EW, Schold SC, Jr. Treatment of radiation-induced nervous system injury with heparin and warfarin, *Neurology* 1994; 44: 2020-2027.

Side-effects and complications of GC treatment

GC have many effects: they stimulate lipolysis, gluconeogenesis, glycogenolysis, protein degradation and inhibit protein synthesis in muscle and adipose tissue. The effect on lymphocytes is of importance too: GC decrease lymphocytic reaction, impair immunity, in particular cellular immunity, and bring about decreased inflammation.³ The side-effects of exogenous GC may be divided into three main categories:

- (1) Effects from mineralocorticoid activity.
- (2) Effects from withdrawal of GC
- (3) Effects from chronic GC excess

Complications from mineralocorticoid activity

As dexamethasone is the most frequently used steroid in neuro-oncology and has minor mineralocor-

ticoid effects, these problems, such as hypokalemic alkalosis, sodium and fluid retention, edema and hypertension, are rarely observed. Peripheral edema may be severe and require therapy with diuretics.

Complications of withdrawal

The following problems may occur on tapering or discontinuation of GC.

(a) Symptoms may develop from the side of the tumor itself: too rapid withdrawal may lead to recurrent tumor-associated edema in the brain or spinal cord, resulting in cerebral symptoms, such as increasing lethargy or paraparesis. Increasing the dosage of GC is the treatment of choice in this situation.

(b) Steroid pseudorheumatism may occur. This syndrome embraces acute myalgias and arthralgias.¹²⁵ Sometimes it may be difficult to discern the syndrome from radicular pain and paresis in NESC.¹²⁶ It should be treated either by increasing the dosage of GC, followed by slower tapering, or by the administration of aspirin or NSAIDs.¹²⁵

(c) Corticosteroid withdrawal syndrome, including anorexia, nausea, lethargy, arthralgia, weakness, desquamation and weight loss, may occur, even in the absence of adrenal insufficiency.¹²⁷ It is obvious that part of these symptoms may also be due to recurrent brain tumors and may confuse the physician.¹²⁶

(d) Sudden withdrawal following prolonged GC treatment may result in serious complications, even in death.^{128,129} Intercurrent acute illness during GC treatment may sometimes require temporary increase of the dosage, particularly if the patient has received GC for a prolonged period and is using a low dosage at that particular moment. Gradual tapering from the physiologic dosage may be necessary, sometimes with control of the plasma cortisol level.¹²⁹ In some instances the use of cortisol (30 mg: 20 mg in the morning and 10 mg in the evening) or cortisone acetate (37.5 mg in two doses) is preferred above dexamethasone.¹³⁰ Despite the high doses of dexamethasone used in neuro-oncologic patients, adrenal insufficiency occurs less often than expected, probably because of the rapid elimination of dexamethasone, the adrenals not being continuously suppressed. A twice-daily administration is probably preferable, as discussed above.¹³¹

(e) In children GC withdrawal has been associated with the syndrome of benign intracranial hypertension.^{126,132}

Toxicity from GC excess

Many toxic effects of GC treatment have been described,^{126,133,134} but not all are observed in neuro-oncologic patients. Some are not serious, such as facial and skin changes, or even beneficial, as for instance increased appetite and feeling of well-being. Night sweats, hiccups, tremor and insomnia may be inconvenient for the patient. The risk of side-effects increases with the duration (more than 3 weeks) and total dose given (more than 400 mg).^{133,135} In a retrospective study, 30 of 59 neuro-oncologic patients (51%) developed at least one steroid toxicity and 11 (19%) required admission to a hospital.¹³⁵ In another retrospective study of 100 patients with glioma, who had been treated with dexamethasone in doses up to 96 mg daily, remarkably less toxic effects were found, steroid myopathy (SM) being the most frequent (6%).¹³⁶ The author studied a different patient population and this is the reason why comparison between studies is difficult. In 121 consecutive neurosurgical patients receiving dexamethasone combined with an antacid agent, three major complications (2.4%) occurred, two in patients with previous or concurrent gastrointestinal disease. It is remarkable that in 19 patients with prolonged use (mean 206 days) of dexamethasone (more than 40 mg/day) no complications occurred.¹³⁷

In another series of 28 consecutive patients treated for NESC with high-dose dexamethasone (starting with 96 mg, tapered to zero in 14 days), eight patients had side-effects (28.6%), four of them considered as serious (14.3%)—one fatal ulcer with hemorrhage, the other three also gastrointestinal complications. After changing to an initial dose of 16 mg, no serious side-effects were observed in the following 38 consecutive patients.¹³⁴ In a group of 40 NESC patients, of whom duration of GC treatment was known, more steroid dependent complications were observed, if they were treated for more than 40 days.¹⁰⁷

Low serum albumin has been identified as a possible risk factor for GC toxicity.^{93,138} When serum albumin concentration is less than 2.5 g/100 ml the occurrence of GC toxicity is doubled. Elevated levels of free GC partly explain this increased frequency of side-effects.

Most of the complications are dose-dependent and reversible. The following side-effects will be discussed in more detail.

Myopathy

SM is probably the most frequently occurring complication of prolonged GC use in patients with primary cerebral tumors. However, it may also occur soon after the start of treatment. It should be realized that SM frequently occurs without elevation of serum creatine kinase (CK) or the well-known myopathic findings on electromyography.¹²⁶ It may cause serious handicap and recovery may be slow and incomplete.³ It may be difficult to discern this complication from the symptoms of brain tumor progression or, more particularly, from epidural spinal cord compression, paraneoplastic Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome and polymyositis or leptomeningeal metastasis. In a retrospective study SM occurred in 10.6% of 216 patients with primary brain tumors following daily dexamethasone treatment for 2 weeks or more.¹³⁹ Although SM occurred over a wide range of peak and cumulative doses, two-thirds of the patients developed their weakness during the third month of treatment. It was suggested that substitution of dexamethasone by a non-fluorinated GC may be useful, if patients have become steroid-dependent.¹³⁹ This is based on experimental studies.^{140,141} A remarkable fact from Dropcho's study was his finding that SM occurred less often in patients taking phenytoin. The interaction between dexamethasone and phenytoin will be discussed below.

In a prospective study of 97 neurosurgical patients, SM was not observed. However, in this study approximately 80% of the patients took GC less than 1 month.¹³⁷ Two other studies with neuro-oncologic patients reported frequencies of 19 and 59%.^{133,142}

Gastrointestinal complications

Perforation. Perforation of the intestinal wall in patients receiving GC is a serious complication, involving high mortality rates.^{143,144} In one study of 107 patients receiving 16 mg/day dexamethasone, three (2.8%) developed gastrointestinal perforation, and in a group of 226 patients tapered from 100 mg/day, it occurred in six (2.7%).¹⁴⁵ There was no difference between high- and low-dose GC treatment in the two groups. In another study, perforations were only observed with the high-dose regime.¹³⁴ Weissman found one sigmoid perforation in 59 neuro-oncologic patients receiving GC, whereas Heimdal observed two perforations in 28 (7.1%) and Weiner five in 719 (1.7%) (one lethal).^{133,134,146} It has been suggested that it occurs

more often in bedridden, constipated persons with epidural spinal metastases and prevention of constipation might be important in this particular group of patients.¹⁴⁵ Age over 50 years and known diverticular disease were also identified as risk factors. Special care is required for the latter condition, in particular if the patient is complaining of abdominal discomfort, has fever or shows unexplained leucocytosis. Lower doses should be considered in these patients.¹⁴⁶ In a study of 125 patients with gastrointestinal perforations, 33% was associated with steroid use, the indication being a neurologic disease in 60%.¹⁴⁵

Hemorrhage. Gastrointestinal hemorrhage was observed in 1.9% of 107 patients receiving low-dose (16 mg/day) and 3.5% of 226 following high-dose GC (tapering from 100 mg/day).¹⁴⁵ It occurred as frequent as gastrointestinal perforation, but appeared to be less serious. Heimdal observed 21 gastrointestinal hemorrhages in 719 neurosurgical patients (3.7%), one of them lethal¹³⁴ and Weissman four in 59 patients (6.8%).¹³³ The difference between the latter two groups was probably due to the difference in duration of treatment.

The association between GC use and peptic ulcer or gastrointestinal hemorrhage has been controversial. In a retrospective analysis of a large number of prospective, controlled investigations, this association was challenged.¹⁴⁷ However, pooled data from 71 controlled clinical trials strongly suggested a relationship.¹⁴⁸ In an editorial of the latter study the prophylactic administration of H₂-blockers was recommended in those patients who are using other medications, that may promote the formation of ulcers, such as NSAIDs.¹⁴⁹ The concomittant use of GC and NSAIDs has been associated with a 10-fold increase in the risk of gastrointestinal hemorrhage.¹⁵⁰ In a study of 120 patients with brain tumors being treated with high-dose GC and stomach-protective agents, only one patient developed GI symptoms and a positive hemocult test. However, there was no placebo group in this study.¹⁵¹

Opportunistic infections

The most common opportunistic infection in patients receiving GC is *Candida* pharyngitis and esophagitis, occurring in eight (13%) and six patients (10%), respectively, in one series of 59 neuro-oncologic patients.¹³³ Other infections have been observed, such as *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cellulitis, suppurative parotitis, pneumocystic carinii pneumonia.^{133,152} Additional

chemotherapeutics and the existence of steroid diabetes may attribute to the risk of this complication.

Other side-effects

Steroid-diabetes is a well-known complication occurring in 19% of 59 neuro-oncologic patients.¹³³

Steroid-induced spinal epidural lipomatosis has been reported several times, mostly in people taking GC for an extended period, usually several months or years.^{153,154} Slowly progressive paraparesis over months may develop, although acute, irreversible paraplegia has been observed as well.¹⁵⁴ In most cases the indication for GC use was other than neuro-oncologic.

Osteoporosis and avascular osteonecrosis are common complications of prolonged GC use. Avascular necrosis of the femoral and, less frequently, humeral heads after short-term GC treatment for brain edema has been described.¹⁵⁶ If present in the femur, it may be confused with spinal cord compression.¹²⁶

Severe **psychiatric reactions** occur in approximately 5% of patients treated with GC, many of them developing affective or psychotic symptoms. It often occurs early in the course of treatment.¹⁵⁷ Most of the 14 patients from one series were using prednisone, one was taking dexamethasone and suffered from lung cancer. Steroid-induced psychosis is probably a rare complication if dexamethasone is used.¹²⁶

Ophthalmologic complications, such as glaucoma and cataract, are rarely observed in neuro-oncologic patients, depending on the duration of treatment.

Interactions

GC treatment may interfere with other drugs, the most important being *phenytoin*, which is often used in patients with cerebral tumors. The mean bioavailability of dexamethasone in neurological patients, including neuro-oncologic patients, was 0.53,¹⁵⁸ which is lower than previously reported in healthy volunteers. This is probably due to the higher clearance by prior phenytoin or dexamethasone use.¹⁵⁹

Liver enzyme induction from phenytoin results in decreased levels of dexamethasone.^{160,161} Elevated phenytoin concentrations in patients receiving dexamethasone simultaneously have also been reported.¹⁶² Interactions between these drugs may be rather complicated.¹⁶³ The less frequent occur-

rence of SM in patients using phenytoin has been addressed above.¹³⁹ A higher dosage of GC may be needed if phenobarbital or diazepam are used, comparable to the situation of Phenytoin.¹⁶⁴

Paradoxically, reports have appeared on the negative effect of GC in patients receiving *chemotherapy*. As GC may decrease the increased capillary permeability in brain tumors, it might also inhibit transport of antineoplastic agents. Steroid treatment has indeed been found to decrease the delivery of methotrexate in experimental brain tumors, after the BBB had first been opened with mannitol.¹⁶⁵ Combined treatment of carmustine (BCNU) and high-dose methylprednisolone tended to be less effective than BCNU alone in patients with cerebral glioma with poor prognosis.¹⁶⁶ On the other hand, the positive effect of antineoplastic agents in brain metastasis and NESC from several tumors has been reported from clinical studies, as was mentioned above.^{80,110,167-169}

Interaction between GC and *radiation therapy* has been described in experimental models. Dexamethasone has been found to be radioprotective in several non-glial cell lines.¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷² This effect of dexamethasone, however, has not been established in rat glioma or human glioma cell lines.^{172,173} At clinical concentration, dexamethasone was found to inhibit the C6 astrocytoma cell line and did not significantly alter the survival of the irradiated cells.¹⁷⁴

Prospect

Interstitial drug delivery within the CNS, using controlled-release polymers, has been tried in experimental animals, the goal being to prevent side-effects and obtain higher local levels of GC in the tumor. High concentrations of dexamethasone in brain tissue could be achieved with minimal plasma concentrations, and tumor-associated brain edema could be treated effectively.^{58,65} In a study with experimental brain tumors in rabbits, systemical and short-term local delivery of dexamethasone by osmotic pump were found to be equally effective for inhibition of tumor volume as well as on reduction of brain edema.¹⁷⁵ However, in comparison with systemic steroid therapy, a reduction in toxicity could not be proved in this study.

Dexamethasone may be of importance in gene-therapy. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α -production was found to be stimulated in rat glioblastoma containing a TNF- α gene.¹⁷⁶

The addition of vasopressin to GC has been investigated and may be favorable.¹⁷⁷ Further re-

search to demonstrate a clinical effect of this combination is needed.

Conclusions

Although the origin of tumor-associated edema and the action of GC in brain tumors have been studied extensively, the knowledge increasing considerably during the past decade, not all questions are solved and new ones have been posed. Some controversies, in particular concerning the factors responsible for the altered permeability of the BBB, have arisen. GC are particularly effective in the treatment of brain metastases, primary cerebral tumors and NESC. Aside from the effects on tumor-associated edema, an effect on permeability of the BBB and cerebral blood flow seems likely. Not all patients suffering from the mentioned conditions require GC. It should be used if important neurological symptoms or signs have occurred. Furthermore, it should be considered if RT is planned, although even then, it may be omitted in some cases. If possible, GC should not be used for a period longer than 2-3 weeks. It may be reinstituted if symptoms reoccur. In some steroid-dependent patients it may be given for a more prolonged period. Particular attention for the side-effects should be paid in patients with NESC, as they are at risk for the development of gastrointestinal perforation.

References

1. Addison A. *On the constitutional and local effects of disease of the suprarenal capsules*. London: Highley 1855 (Facsimile reprint: London: Dawsons of Pall Mall 1968).
2. Brown-Séquard CE. Recherches expérimentales sur la physiologie et la pathologie des capsules surrénales. *Comp R Acad Sci* 1856; **43**: 422-5.
3. Haynes RC Jr. Adrenocorticotrophic hormone; adrenocortical steroids and their synthetic analogs; inhibitors of the synthesis and action of adrenocortical hormones. In: Goodman, Gilman A, Rall TW, *et al.* eds. *Goodman and Gilman's The pharmacological basis of therapeutics*, 8th edn. New York: Pergamon 1990.
4. Hench PS, Kendall EC, Slocumb CH, *et al.* The effect of a hormone of the adrenal cortex (17-hydroxy-11-dehydrocorticosterone; compound E) and of pituitary adrenocorticotrophic hormone on rheumatoid arthritis. *Proc Staff Meet Mayo Clin* 1949; **24**: 181-97.
5. Masserman JW. Effects of intravenous administration of hypertonic solutions of sucrose. *Johns Hopkins Hosp Bull* 1935; **57**: 12-21.
6. Ingraham FD, Matson DD, McLaurin RL. Cortisone and ACTH as an adjunct to the surgery of craniopharyngiomas. *N Engl J Med* 1952; **246**: 568-71.

7. Kofman S, Garvin JS, Nagamani D, *et al.* Treatment of cerebral metastases from breast carcinoma with prednisolone. *J Am Med Ass* 1957; **163**: 1473–6.
8. Huggins C, Dao T. Adrenalectomy and oophorectomy in treatment of advanced carcinoma of breast. *J Am Med Ass* 1953; **151**: 1388–94.
9. Galicich JH, French LA. Use of dexamethasone in the treatment of cerebral edema resulting from brain tumors and brain surgery. *Am Practit Dig Treat* 1961; **12**: 169–74.
10. Cantu RC. Corticosteroids for spinal metastases. *Lancet* 1968; **ii**: 912.
11. Del Maestro RF, Megyesi JF, Farrell CL. Mechanisms of tumor-associated edema: a review. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1990; **17**: 177–83.
12. Kelly PJ, Duport-Daumas C, Kispert DB, *et al.* Imaging-based stereotaxic serial biopsies in untreated intracranial glial neoplasms. *J Neurosurg* 1987; **66**: 865–74.
13. Beaney RP, Leenders KL, Brooks DJ. Effects of dexamethasone in brain tumour patients. *Lancet* 1987; **i**: 571–2.
14. Saul TG, Ducker TB, Salzman M, *et al.* Steroids in severe head injury. A prospective randomized clinical trial. *J Neurosurg* 1981; **54**: 596–600.
15. Norris JW. Steroid therapy in acute cerebral infarction. *Arch Neurol* 1976; **33**: 69–71.
16. Pongvarin N, Bhoopat W, Viriyavejakul A, *et al.* Effects of dexamethasone in primary supratentorial intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 1987; **316**: 1229–33.
17. Bodsch W, Rommel T, Ophoff BG, *et al.* Factors responsible for the retention of fluid in human tumor edema and the effect of dexamethasone. *J Neurosurg* 1987; **67**: 250–7.
18. Yamada K, Yukitaka U, Hayakawa T, *et al.* Effects of methyl prednisolone on peritumoral brain edema. A quantitative autoradiographic study. *J Neurosurg* 1983; **59**: 612–9.
19. Ohnishi T, Hayakawa T, Shapiro WR. Human malignant gliomas secrete a factor that increases brain capillary permeability: role in peritumoral brain oedema. *Acta Neurochir* 1990; **50**: (suppl) 137–9.
20. Ohnishi T, Sher PB, Posner JB, *et al.* Increased capillary permeability in rat brain induced by factors secreted by cultured C6 glioma cells: role in peritumoral brain edema. *J Neurooncol* 1991; **10**: 13–25.
21. Ohnishi T, Sher PB, Posner JB, *et al.* Capillary permeability factor secreted by malignant brain tumor. Role in peritumoral brain edema and possible mechanism for anti-edema effect of glucocorticoids. *J Neurosurg* 1990; **72**: 245–51.
22. Criscuolo GR, Merrill MJ, Oldfield EH. Further characterization of malignant glioma-derived vascular permeability factor. *J Neurosurg* 1988; **69**: 254–62.
23. Criscuolo GR, Merrill MJ, Oldfield EH. Characterization of a protein product of human malignant glial tumors that induces microvascular permeability. *Adv Neurol* 1990; **52**: 469–74.
24. Bruce JN, Criscuolo GR, Merrill MJ, *et al.* Vascular permeability induced by protein product of malignant brain tumors: inhibition by dexamethasone. *J Neurosurg* 1987; **67**: 880–4.
25. Shinonaga M, Chang CC, Kuwabara T. Relation between macrophage infiltrates and peritumoral edema. *Adv Neurol* 1990; **52**: 475–81.
26. Unterberg A, Schimdt W, Wahl M, *et al.* Evidence against leukotrienes as mediators of brain edema. *J Neurosurg* 1991; **74**: 773–80.
27. Unterberg A, Wahl M, Hammersen F, *et al.* Permeability and vasomotor response of cerebral vessels during exposure to arachidonic acid. *Acta Neuropathol (Berlin)* 1987; **73**: 209–19.
28. Reulen HJ, Huber P, Gröger U. Peritumoral brain edema. A keynote address. *Adv Neurol* 1990; **52**: 307–15.
29. Bell BA, Smith MA, Kean DM, *et al.* Brain water measured by magnetic resonance imaging. Correlation with direct estimation and changes after mannitol and dexamethasone. *Lancet* 1987; **i**: 66–9.
30. Bell BA. Measurement of changes in brain water in man by magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1989; **71**: 375–80.
31. Ito U, Reulen HJ, Tomita H, *et al.* Formation and propagation of brain oedema fluid around human brain metastases. A CT study. *Acta Neurochir* 1988; **90**: 35–41.
32. Ito U, Reulen HJ, Tomita H, *et al.* A computed tomography study on formation, propagation, and resolution of edema fluid in metastatic brain tumors. *Adv Neurol* 1990; **52**: 459–68.
33. Hedley-Whyte ET, Hsu DW. Effect of dexamethasone on blood–brain barrier in the normal mouse. *Ann Neurol* 1986; **19**: 373–7.
34. Yamada K, Bremer AM, West CR. Effects of dexamethasone on tumor-induced brain edema and its distribution in the brain of monkeys. *J Neurosurg* 1979; **50**: 361–7.
35. Guerin C, Wolff JEA, Laterra J, *et al.* Vascular differentiation and glucose transporter expression in rat gliomas: effects of steroids. *Ann Neurol* 1992; **31**: 481–7.
36. Shapiro WR, Hiesiger EM, Cooney GA, *et al.* Temporal effects of dexamethasone on blood-to-brain and blood-to-tumor transport of ¹⁴C- α -aminoisobutyric acid in rat C6 glioma. *J Neurooncol* 1990; **8**: 197–204.
37. Luthert PJ, Greenwood J, Lantos PL, *et al.* The effect of dexamethasone on vascular permeability of experimental brain tumours. *Acta Neuropathol (Berlin)* 1986; **69**: 288–94.
38. Groothuis D, Lapin G, Allen C, *et al.* Dexamethasone does not alter capillary permeability in primary brain tumors. *Neurology* 1994; **44**: 1356.
39. Cairncross JG, Macdonald DR, Pexman JHW, *et al.* Steroid-induced CT changes in patients with recurrent malignant glioma. *Neurology* 1988; **38**: 724–6.
40. Hatam A, Yu Z-Y, Bergström M, *et al.* Effect of dexamethasone treatment on peritumoral brain edema: evaluation by computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1982; **6**: 586–92.
41. Galloway RL, Maciunas RJ, Failing AL. Factors affecting perceived tumor volumes in magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Biomed Eng* 1993; **21**: 367–75.
42. Jarden JO, Dhawan V, Poltorak A, *et al.* Positron emission tomographic measurement of blood-to-brain and blood-to-tumor transport of ⁸²Rb: the effect of dexamethasone and whole-brain radiation therapy. *Ann Neurol* 1985; **18**: 636–46.
43. Jarden JO, Dhawan V, Moeller JR, *et al.* The time course of steroid action on blood-to-brain and blood-to-tumor transport of ⁸²Rb: a positron emission tomographic study. *Ann Neurol* 1989; **25**: 239–45.
44. Leenders KL, Beaney RP, Brooks DJ, *et al.* Dexametha-

- sone treatment of brain tumor patients: effects on regional cerebral blood flow, blood volume, and oxygen utilization. *Neurology* 1985; **35**: 1610-6.
45. Axelrod L. Inhibition of prostracyclin production mediates permissive effect of glucocorticoids on vascular tone. *Lancet* 1983; **i**: 904-6.
46. Beane RP, Leenders KL, Brooks DJ. Effects of dexamethasone in brain tumour patients. *Lancet* 1987; **i**: 571-2.
47. Nakagawa H, Groothuis DR, Owens ES, *et al*. Dexamethasone effects on vascular volume and tissue hematocrit in experimental RG-2 gliomas and adjacent brain. *J Neurooncol* 1988; **6**: 157-68.
48. Hatam A, Bergstrom M, Noren G. Effects of dexamethasone treatment on acoustic neuromas: evaluation by computed tomography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1985; **9**: 857-60.
49. Lee ST, Hsueh S. Cerebral edema associated with meningioma. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1989; **16**: 211-3.
50. Constantini S, Tamir J, Gomori MJ, *et al*. Tumor prostaglandin levels correlate with edema around supratentorial meningiomas. *Neurosurgery* 1993; **33**: 204-11.
51. Reichman HR, Farrell CL, Del-Maestro RF. Effects of steroids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents on vascular permeability in a rat glioma model. *J Neurosurg* 1986; **65**: 233-7.
52. Farrell CL, Megyesi JF, Del Maestro RF. The effect of ibuprofen on tumor growth in the C6 spheroid implantation glioma model. *J Neurosurg* 1988; **68**: 925-30.
53. Del Maestro RF, Mattar AG. The influence of ibuprofen on patients with peritumoral edema. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1988; **15**: 227.
54. Weissman DE, Stewart C. Experimental drug therapy of peritumoral brain edema. *J Neurooncol* 1988; **6**: 339-42.
55. Sherbet GV, Lakshmi MS, Haddad SK. Does dexamethasone inhibit the growth of human gliomas? *J Neurosurg* 1977; **47**: 864-70.
56. Freshney RI. Effects of glucocorticoids on glioma cells in culture. Minireview on cancer research. *Exp Cell Biol* 1984; **52**: 286-92.
57. Tamargo RJ, Leong KW, Brem H. Growth inhibition of the 9L glioma using polymers to release heparin and cortisone acetate. *J Neurooncol* 1990; **9**: 131-8.
58. Tamargo RJ, Sills AK Jr, Reinhard CS, *et al*. Interstitial delivery of dexamethasone in the brain for the reduction of peritumoral edema. *J Neurosurg* 1991; **74**: 956-61.
59. Gibelli N, Zibera C, Butti G, *et al*. Hormonal modulation of brain tumour growth: a cell culture study. *Acta Neurochir* 1989; **101**: 129-33.
60. Pilkington GJ, Knott JCA, Clarcke TM, *et al*. Morphological, antigenic and flow cytometric evaluation of corticosteroid-treated glioma cells *in vitro*. *Anticancer Res* 1987; **7**: 877.
61. Yu Z-Y, Wrange Ö, Boëthius J, *et al*. A study of glucocorticoid receptors in intracranial tumors. *J Neurosurg* 1981; **55**: 757-60.
62. Paoletti P, Butti G, Zibera C, *et al*. Characteristics and biological role of steroid hormone receptors in neuroepithelial tumors. *J Neurosurg* 1990; **73**: 736-42.
63. Thomas DGT. Brain tumours: clinical overview. In: Capildeo R, ed. *Steroids in diseases of the central nervous system*. Chichester: Wiley 1989: 99-102.
64. Langeveld CH, Van Waas MP, Stoof JC, *et al*. Implication of glucocorticoid receptors in the stimulation of human glioma cell proliferation by low concentrations of dexamethasone. *J Neurosci Res* 1992; **31**: 524-31.
65. Maciunas RJ, Mericle RA, Sneed CL, *et al*. Determination of the lethal dose of dexamethasone for early passage *in vitro* human glioblastoma cell cultures. *Neurosurgery* 1993; **33**: 485-8.
66. Markesbery WR, Brooks WH, Gupta GD, *et al*. Treatment for patients with cerebral metastases. *Arch Neurol* 1978; **35**: 754-6.
67. Ruderman NB, Hall TC. Use of glucocorticoids in the palliative treatment of metastatic brain tumors. *Cancer* 1965; **18**: 298-306.
68. Horton J, Baxter DH, Olson KB. The management of metastases to the brain by irradiation and corticosteroids. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med* 1971; **3**: 334-5.
69. Patchell RA. Brain metastases. *Neurol Clin* 1991; **9**: 817-24.
70. Zimm S, Wampler GL, Stablein D, *et al*. Intracerebral metastases in solid-tumor patients: natural history and results of treatment. *Cancer* 1981; **48**: 384-94.
71. Borgelt B, Gelber R, Kramer S, *et al*. The palliation of brain metastases: final results of the first two studies by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1980; **6**: 1-9.
72. Posner JB. Diagnosis and treatment of metastases to the brain. *Clin Bull* 1974; **4**: 47-57.
73. Posner JB. Management of brain metastases. *Rev Neurol* 1992; **148**: 477-87.
74. French LA, Galicich JH. The use of steroids for control of cerebral edema. *Clin Neurosurg* 1964; **10**: 212-23.
75. Renaudin J, Fewer D, Wilson CB, *et al*. Dose dependency of Decadron in patients with partially excised brain tumors. *J Neurosurg* 1973; **39**: 302-5.
76. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW, *et al*. A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *N Engl J Med* 1990; **322**: 494-500.
77. Vecht ChJ, Haaxma-Reiche H, Noordijk EM, *et al*. Treatment of single brain metastasis: radiotherapy alone or combined with neurosurgery? *Ann Neurol* 1993; **33**: 583-90.
78. Prados M, Leibel S, Barnett CM, *et al*. Interstitial brachytherapy for metastatic brain tumors. *Cancer* 1989; **63**: 657-60.
79. Adler JR, Cox RS, Kaplan I, *et al*. Stereotactic radiosurgical treatment of brain metastases. *J Neurosurg* 1992; **76**: 444-9.
80. Boogerd W, Dalesio O, Bais EM, *et al*. Response of brain metastases from breast cancer to systemic chemotherapy. *Cancer* 1992; **69**: 972-80.
81. DeAngelis LM. Management of brain metastases. *Cancer Invest* 1994; **12**: 156-65.
82. Lieberman A, Lebrun Y, Glass P, *et al*. Use of high-dose corticosteroids in patients with inoperable brain tumors. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* 1977; **40**: 678-82.
83. Vick NA, Wilson CB. Total care of the patient with a brain tumor—with consideration of some ethical issues. In: Vick NA, Bigner DD, eds. *Neurologic clinics, Symposium on Neuro-Oncology*. Philadelphia: Saunders 1985: 705-10.
84. Capildeo R. High-dose methylprednisolone for the treatment of malignant brain tumours. In: Capildeo R, ed. *Steroids in diseases of the central nervous system*.

- Chichester: Wiley 1989: 103–12.
85. Ehrenkranz JRL, Posner JB. Adrenocorticosteroid hormones. In: Weiss L, Gilbert HA, Posner JB, eds. *Brain metastasis*. Boston: Hall 1980: 340–63.
 86. Ushio Y, Posner R, Posner JB, et al. Experimental spinal cord compression by epidural neoplasm. *Neurology* 1977; **27**: 422–9.
 87. Delattre JY, Arbit E, Thaler HT, et al. A dose–response study of dexamethasone in a model of spinal cord compression by epidural tumor. *J Neurosurg* 1989; **70**: 920–5.
 88. Vecht ChJ, Hovestadt A, Verbiest HBC, et al. Dose–effect relationship of dexamethasone on Karnofsky performance in metastatic brain tumors: a randomized study of 4, 8, and 16 mg per day. *Neurology* 1994; **44**: 675–80.
 89. Weissman DE, Janjan NA, Erickson B, et al. Twice-daily tapering dexamethasone treatment during cranial radiation for newly diagnosed brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* 1991; **11**: 235–9.
 90. Cairncross JG, Kim JH, Posner JB. Radiation therapy for brain metastases. *Ann Neurol* 1980; **7**: 529–41.
 91. Hohwieler-Schloss M, Freidberg SR, Heatley GJ, et al. Glucocorticoid dependency as a prognostic factor in radio therapy for cerebral gliomas. *Acta Oncol* 1989; **28**: 51–5.
 92. Byrne TN. Spinal cord compression from epidural metastases. *N Engl J Med* 1992; **327**: 614–9.
 93. Weissman DE. Glucocorticoid treatment for brain metastases and epidural spinal cord compression: a review. *J Clin Oncol* 1988; **6**: 543–51.
 94. Ikeda H, Ushio Y, Hayakawa T, et al. Edema and circulatory disturbances in the spinal cord compressed by epidural neoplasms in rabbits. *J Neurosurg* 1980; **52**: 203–9.
 95. Kato A, Ushio Y, Hayakawa T, et al. Circulatory disturbances of the spinal cord with epidural neoplasm in rats. *J Neurosurg* 1985; **63**: 260–5.
 96. Manabe S, Tanaka H, Higo Y, et al. Experimental analysis of the spinal cord compressed by spinal metastasis. *Spine* 1989; **14**: 1308–15.
 97. Hashizume Y, Iijima S, Kishimoto H, et al. Pencil-shaped softening of the spinal cord: pathologic study in 12 autopsy cases. *Acta Neuropathol* 1983; **61**: 219–24.
 98. Siegal T, Siegal T. Participation of serotonergic mechanisms in the pathophysiology of experimental neoplastic spinal cord compression. *Neurology* 1991; **41**: 574–80.
 99. Siegal T, Siegal Tz, Shapira Y, et al. Indomethacin and dexamethasone treatment in experimental neoplastic spinal cord compression: Part 1. Effect on water content and specific gravity. *Neurosurgery* 1988; **22**: 328–33.
 100. Siegal T, Shohami E, Shapira Y, et al. Indomethacin and dexamethasone treatment in experimental neoplastic spinal cord compression: Part 2. Effect on edema and prostaglandin synthesis. *Neurosurgery* 1988; **22**: 333–9.
 101. Posner JB, Howieson J, Cvitkovic E. 'Disappearing' spinal cord compression: oncolytic effect of glucocorticoids (and other chemotherapeutic agents) on epidural metastases. *Ann Neurol* 1977; **2**: 409–13.
 102. Rodriguez M, Dinapoli RP. Spinal cord compression: with special reference to metastatic epidural tumors. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1980; **55**: 442–8.
 103. Vecht CJ, Haaxma-Reiche H, Van Putten WLJ, et al. Initial bolus of conventional versus high-dose dexamethasone in metastatic spinal cord compression. *Neurology* 1989; **39**: 1255–7.
 104. Posner JB. Back pain and epidural spinal cord compression. *Med Clin North Am* 1987; **71**: 185–205.
 105. Greenberg HS, Kim JH, Posner JB. Epidural spinal cord compression from metastatic tumor: results with a new treatment protocol. *Ann Neurol* 1980; **8**: 361–6.
 106. Sørensen S, Helweg-Larsen S, Mouridsen H, et al. Effect of high-dose dexamethasone in carcinomatous metastatic spinal cord compression treated with radiotherapy: a randomised trial. *Eur J Cancer* 1994; **30A**: 22–7.
 107. Martenson JA, Evans RG, Lie MR, et al. Treatment outcome and complications in patients treated for malignant epidural spinal cord compression (SCC). *J Neurooncol* 1985; **3**: 77–84.
 108. Gilbert RW, Kim J-H, Posner JB. Epidural spinal cord compression from metastatic tumor: diagnosis and treatment. *Ann Neurol* 1978; **3**: 40–51.
 109. Rubin P. Extradural spinal cord compression by tumor. *Radiology* 1969; **93**: 1243–60.
 110. Boogerd W, Van der Sande JJ, Kröger R, et al. Effective systemic therapy for spinal epidural metastases from breast carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol* 1989; **25**: 149–53.
 111. Clarke PRR, Saunders M. Steroid-induced remission in spinal canal reticulum cell sarcoma. *J Neurosurg* 1975; **42**: 346–8.
 112. Hochberg FH, Miller DC. Primary central nervous system lymphoma. *J Neurosurg* 1988; **68**: 835–53.
 113. Eby NL, Grufferman S, Flannelly CM, et al. Increasing incidence of primary brain lymphoma in the United States. *Cancer* 1988; **62**: 2461–5.
 114. Kikuchi K, Watanabe K, Miura S, et al. Steroid-induced regression of primary malignant lymphoma of the brain. *Surg Neurol* 1986; **26**: 291–6.
 115. Maiuri F. Primary cerebral lymphoma presenting as steroid-responsive chiasmal syndrome. *Br J Neurosurg* 1987; **1**: 499–502.
 116. Pohl P, Oberhuber G, Dietze O, et al. Steroid-induced complete remission in a case of primary cerebral non-Hodgkins lymphoma. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 1989; **91**: 247–50.
 117. Van de Bent MJ, Vanneste JA, Ansink BJ. Prolonged remission of primary central nervous system lymphoma after discontinuation of steroid therapy. *J Neurooncol* 1992; **13**: 257–9.
 118. Tourniaire D, Pages M, Blard JM, et al. Lymphome primitif du système nerveux central. Remissions multiples sous corticoïdes. *Rev Neurol* 1993; **149**: 222–4.
 119. Braus DF, Schwechheimer K, Müller-Hermelink HK, et al. Primary malignant non-Hodgkins lymphomas: a retrospective clinical study. *J Neurol* 1992; **239**: 117–24.
 120. Geppert M, Ostertag CB, Seitz G, et al. Glucocorticoid therapy obscures the diagnosis of cerebral lymphoma. *Acta Neuropathol* 1990; **80**: 629–34.
 121. McLaughlin P, Velasquez WS, Redman JR, et al. Chemotherapy with dexamethasone, high-dose cytarabine, and cisplatin for parenchymal brain lymphoma. *J Nat Cancer Inst* 1988; **80**: 1408–12.
 122. Stewart DJ, Russell N, Denney J, et al. Cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin, Vincristine and Dexamethasone in

- the treatment of bulky central nervous system lymphomas. *J Neurooncol* 1984; **2**: 289.
123. Shibamoto Y, Tsutsui K, Dodo Y, *et al.* Improved survival in primary intracranial lymphoma treated by high-dose radiation and systemic vincristine-doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide-prednisolone chemotherapy. *Cancer* 1990; **65**: 1907-12.
124. Godwin-Austin RB, Howell DA, Worthington B. Observations of radiation myelopathy. *Brain* 1975; **98**: 557-68.
125. Rotstein J, Good RA. Steroid pseudorheumatism. *Arch Intern Med* 1957; **99**: 545-55.
126. Delattre JY, Posner JB. Neurological complications of chemotherapy and radiation therapy. In: Aminoff MJ, ed. *Neurology and general medicine*. New York: Churchill Livingstone 1989: 365-87.
127. Armatruda TT, Hurst MM, D'Esopo ND. Certain endocrine and metabolic facets of the steroid withdrawal syndrome. *J Clin Endocr Metab* 1965; **25**: 207-17.
128. Fraser CG, Preuss FS, Bigford WD. Adrenal atrophy and irreversible shock associated with cortisone therapy. *J Am Med Ass* 1952; **149**: 1542-3.
129. Byyny RL. Withdrawal from glucocorticoid therapy. *N Engl J Med* 1976; **295**: 30-2.
130. Baxter JD, Tyrrell JB. The adrenal cortex. In: Felig P, Baxter JD, Broadus AH, *et al.* eds. *Endocrinology and metabolism*, 2nd edn. New York: McGraw-Hill 1987.
131. Brophy T, Chalk JB, Ridgeway K, *et al.* Cortisol production during high dose dexamethasone therapy in neurological and neurosurgical patients. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* 1984; **47**: 1081-6.
132. Walker AE, Adamkiewitz JJ. Pseudotumor cerebri associated with prolonged corticosteroid therapy: reports of four cases. *J Am Med Ass* 1964; **188**: 779-84.
133. Weissman DE, Dufer D, Vogel V, *et al.* Corticosteroid toxicity in neuro-oncology patients. *J Neurooncol* 1987; **5**: 125-8.
134. Heimdal K, Hirschberg H, Slettebo H, *et al.* High incidence of serious side effects of high-dose dexamethasone treatment in patients with epidural spinal cord compression. *J Neurooncol* 1992; **12**: 141-4.
135. Delattre JY, Arbit E, Rosenblum MK, *et al.* High dose versus low dose dexamethasone in experimental epidural spinal cord compression. *Neurosurgery* 1988; **22**: 1005-7.
136. Vick NA. Steroid toxicity (Letter). *J Neurooncol* 1988; **6**: 199.
137. Marshall LF, King J, Langfitt TW. The complications of high-dose corticosteroid therapy in neurosurgical patients: a prospective study. *Ann Neurol* 1977; **1**: 201-3.
138. Lewis GP, Jusko WJ, Burke CW, *et al.* Prednisone side-effects and serum-protein levels. *Lancet* 1971; **ii**: 778-81.
139. Dropcho EJ, Soong S. Steroid-induced weakness in patients with primary brain tumors. *Neurology* 1991; **41**: 1235-9.
140. Koski CL, Rifkenberck DH, Max SR. Oxidative metabolism of skeletal muscle in steroid atrophy. *Arch Neurol* 1974; **31**: 407-10.
141. Kelly FJ, McGrath JA, Goldspink DF, *et al.* A morphological and biochemical study on the actions of corticosteroids on rat skeletal muscle. *Muscle Nerve* 1986; **9**: 1-10.
142. Taylor LP, Posner JB. Steroid myopathy in cancer patients treated with dexamethasone. *Neurology* 1989; **39** (suppl): 129.
143. ReMine SG, McIlrath D. Bowel perforations in steroid-treated patients. *Ann Surg* 1980; **192**: 581-6.
144. Sakai L, Daake J, Kaminski DL. Acute perforation of sigmoid diverticula. *Am J Surg* 1981; **142**: 712-6.
145. Fadul CE, Lemann W, Thaler HT, *et al.* Perforation of the gastrointestinal tract in patients receiving steroids for neurologic disease. *Neurology* 1988; **38**: 348-52.
146. Weiner HL, Rezai AR, Cooper PR. Sigmoid diverticular perforation in neurosurgical patients receiving high-dose corticosteroids. *Neurosurgery* 1993; **33**: 40-3.
147. Conn HO, Blitzer BL. Nonassociation of adrenocorticosteroid therapy and peptic ulcer. *N Engl J Med* 1976; **294**: 473-9.
148. Messer J, Reitman D, Sacks HS, *et al.* Association of adrenocorticosteroid therapy and peptic-ulcer disease. *N Engl J Med* 1983; **309**: 21-4.
149. Spiro HM. Is the steroid ulcer a myth? *N Engl J Med* 1983; **309**: 45-7.
150. Piper JM, Ray WA, Daugherty JR, *et al.* Corticosteroid use and peptic ulcer disease: role of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; **114**: 735-40.
151. Hirschl M. Erfahrungsbericht über die Magenschutztherapie bei hochdosierter Kortikosteroidbehandlung von Hirntumorpatienten. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 1988; **15**: 97-101.
152. Slivka A, Wen PY, Shea WM, *et al.* Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia during steroid taper in patients with primary brain tumors. *Am J Med* 1993; **94**: 216-9.
153. George WE, Wilmot M, Greenhouse A, *et al.* Medical management of steroid-induced epidural lipomatosis. *N Engl J Med* 1983; **308**: 316-9.
154. Guegan Y, Fardoun R, Launois B, *et al.* Spinal cord compression by extradural fat after prolonged corticosteroid therapy. *J Neurosurg* 1982; **56**: 267-9.
155. Kaplan JG, Barasch E, Hirschfeld A, *et al.* Spinal epidural lipomatosis: a serious complication of iatrogenic Cushing's syndrome. *Neurology* 1989; **39**: 1031-4.
156. Fast A, Alon M, Weiss S, *et al.* Avascular necrosis of bone following short-term dexamethasone therapy for brain edema. *J Neurosurg* 1984; **61**: 983-5.
157. Lewis DA, Smith RE. Steroid-induced psychiatric syndromes. *J Affective Disord* 1983; **5**: 319-32.
158. Brophy TRO, McCafferty J, Tyrer JH, *et al.* Bioavailability of oral dexamethasone during high dose steroid therapy in neurological patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1983; **24**: 103-8.
159. Duggan DE, Yeh KC, Matalia N, *et al.* Bioavailability of oral dexamethasone. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1975; **18**: 205-9.
160. Haque N, Thrasher K, Werk E, *et al.* Studies in dexamethasone metabolism in man: effect of diphenylhydantoin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol* 1972; **34**: 44-50.
161. McLelland J, Jack W. Phenytoin-dexamethasone interaction: a clinical problem. *Lancet* 1978; **i**: 1096-7.
162. Lawson L, Blouin R, Smith R, *et al.* Phenytoin-dexamethasone interaction: a previously unreported observation. *Surg Neurol* 1981; **16**: 23-4.
163. Wong DD, Longenecker RG, Liepman M, *et al.* Phenytoin-dexamethasone: a possible drug-drug interaction. *J Am Med Ass* 1985; **254**: 2062-3.
164. Stjernhom MR, Katz FH. Effects of diphenylhydantoin,

- phenobarbital, and diazepam on the metabolism of methylprednisolone and its sodium succinate. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol* 1975; **41**: 887-93.
165. Neuwelt EA, Barnett PA, Bigner DD, *et al*. Effects of adrenal cortical steroids and osmotic blood-brain barrier opening of methotrexate delivery to gliomas in the rodent: the factor of the blood-brain barrier. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1982; **79**: 4220-23.
 166. Green SB, Byar DP, Walker MD, *et al*. Comparisons of carmustine, procarbazine, and high-dose methylprednisolone as additions to surgery and radiotherapy for the treatment of malignant glioma. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1983; **67**: 121-32.
 167. Giaccone G, Donadio M, Bonardi GM, *et al*. Tenoposide (VM26): an effective treatment for brain metastases of small cell carcinoma of the lung. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol* 1988; **24**: 629-31.
 168. Kristjansen PEG, Hansen HH. Brain metastases from small cell lung cancer treated with combination chemotherapy. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol* 1988; **24**: 545-9.
 169. Rosner D, Nemoto T, Lane WW. Chemotherapy induces regression of brain metastases in breast carcinoma. *Cancer* 1989; **58**: 832-9.
 170. Millar BC, Jenkins TC, Fielden EM, *et al*. Polyfunctional radiosensitizers. VI. Dexamethasone inhibits shoulder modification by uncharged nitroxyl biradicals in mammalian cells irradiated *in vitro*. *Radiat Res* 1983; **96**: 160-72.
 171. Millar BC, Jinks S. The effect of dexamethasone on the radiation survival response and misonidazole-induced hypoxic-cell cytotoxicity in Chinese hamster cells V-79-753B *in vitro*. *Br J Radiol* 1981; **54**: 505-11.
 172. Millar BC, Jinks S. Studies on the relationship between the radiation resistance and glutathione content of human and rodent cells after treatment with dexamethasone *in vitro*. *Int J Radiat Biol* 1985; **47**: 539-552.
 173. Brock WA, Williams M, McNaney D, *et al*. Modification by dexamethasone of radiation response of *in vitro* cultured cells. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1984; **10**: 2113-7.
 174. Lordo CD, Stroude EC, Del Maestro RF. The effects of dexamethasone on C6 astrocytoma radiosensitivity. *J Neuro Surg* 1989; **70**: 767-73.
 175. Ikeda Y, Carson BS, Lauer JA, *et al*. Therapeutic effects of local delivery of dexamethasone on experimental brain tumors and peritumoral brain edema. *J Neurosurg* 1993; **79**: 716-21.
 176. Zhu J, Retska R, Weber F, *et al*. Dexamethasone-stimulated tumor necrosis factor-production gene transfected glioblastoma cells. *J Neurol* 1994; **241**: S146.
 177. Bernard-Weil E. Evaluation of the addition to corticoids of a growth factor (vasopressin) in the palliative therapy of malignant brain tumours. *Neurol Res* 1991; **13**: 94-102.

(Received 28 September 1994; accepted 20 October 1994)